

## EQUIVALENCE OF $n$ -NORMS ON THE SPACE OF $p$ -SUMMABLE SEQUENCES

ANWAR MUTAQIN<sup>1</sup> AND HENDRA GUNAWAN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Universitas Sultan Ageng  
Tirtayasa, Serang, Indonesia, anwarmutaqin@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung,  
Indonesia, hgunawan@math.itb.ac.id

**Abstract.** We study the relation between two known  $n$ -norms on  $\ell^p$ , the space of  $p$ -summable sequences. One  $n$ -norm is derived from Gähler's formula [3], while the other is due to Gunawan [6]. We show in particular that the convergence in one  $n$ -norm implies that in the other. The key is to show that the convergence in each of these  $n$ -norms is equivalent to that in the usual norm on  $\ell^p$ .

*Key words:*  $n$ -normed spaces,  $p$ -summable sequence spaces,  $n$ -norm equivalence.

**Abstrak.** Dalam makalah ini dipelajari kaitan antara dua norm- $n$  di  $\ell^p$ , ruang barisan *summable- $p$* . Norm- $n$  pertama diperoleh dari rumus Gähler [3], sementara norm- $n$  kedua diperkenalkan oleh Gunawan [6]. Ditunjukkan antara lain bahwa kekonvergenan dalam norm- $n$  yang satu mengakibatkan kekonvergenan dalam norm- $n$  lainnya. Kuncinya adalah bahwa kekonvergenan dalam masing-masing norm- $n$  tersebut setara dengan kekonvergenan dalam norm biasa di  $\ell^p$ .

*Kata kunci:* ruang norm- $n$ , ruang barisan *summable- $p$* , kesetaraan norm- $n$

### 1. Introduction

In [6], Gunawan introduced an  $n$ -norm on  $\ell^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ), the space of  $p$ -summable sequences (of real numbers), given by the formula

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_p := \left[ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_n} \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} x_{1j_1} & \cdots & x_{nj_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1j_n} & \cdots & x_{nj_n} \end{vmatrix} \right]^{1/p}$$

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B15

Received: 31-03-2010, accepted: 05-08-2010.

for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_\infty = \sup_{j_1} \sup_{j_2} \cdots \sup_{j_n} \left\{ \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} x_{1j_1} & \cdots & x_{1j_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{nj_1} & \cdots & x_{nj_n} \end{vmatrix} \right\},$$

where  $x_i = (x_{ij})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . For  $p = 2$ , the above formula may be rewritten as

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_2 = \left| \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, x_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x_n \rangle \end{vmatrix} \right|^{1/2},$$

where  $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle$  denotes the usual inner product on  $\ell^2$ . Here  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_2$  represents the volume of the  $n$ -dimensional parallelepiped spanned by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $\ell^2$ .

In general, an  $n$ -norm on a real vector space  $X$  is a mapping  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\| : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies the following four conditions:

- (N1)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent;
- (N2)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$  is invariant under permutation;
- (N3)  $\|\alpha x_1, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (N4)  $\|x_1 + x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ .

The theory of  $n$ -normed spaces was developed by Gähler in 1969 and 1970 [3, 4, 5]. The special case where  $n = 2$  was studied earlier, also by Gähler, in 1964 [2]. Related work may be found in [1]. For more recent works, see [7, 8, 10].

If  $X$  is equipped with a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , then according to Gähler, one may define an  $n$ -norm on  $X$  (assuming that  $X$  is at least  $n$ -dimensional) by the formula

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^* := \sup_{\substack{f_i \in X', \|f_i\| \leq 1 \\ i=1, \dots, n}} \left| \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_1(x_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_n(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix} \right|.$$

Here  $X'$  denotes the dual of  $X$ , which consists of bounded linear functionals on  $X$ .

For  $X = \ell^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), we know that  $X' = \ell^{p'}$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . In this case the above formula reduces to

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_p^* := \sup_{\substack{z_i \in \ell^{p'}, \|z_i\|_{p'} \leq 1 \\ i=1, \dots, n}} \left| \begin{vmatrix} \sum x_{1j} z_{1j} & \cdots & \sum x_{1j} z_{nj} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum x_{nj} z_{1j} & \cdots & \sum x_{nj} z_{nj} \end{vmatrix} \right|,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{p'}$  denotes the usual norm on  $\ell^{p'}$  and each of the sums is taken over  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, on  $\ell^p$ , we have two definitions of  $n$ -norms, one is due to Gunawan and the other is derived from Gähler's formula. For  $p = 2$ , one may verify that the two  $n$ -norms are identical.

The purpose of this paper is to study the relation between the two  $n$ -norms on  $\ell^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . In particular, we shall show that the two  $n$ -norms are weakly equivalent, that is, the convergence in one  $n$ -norm implies that in the other. Here

a sequence  $(x(m))$  in an  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to *converge* to  $x \in X$  if  $\|x(m) - x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

For convenience, we prove the result for  $n = 2$  first, and then extend it to any  $n \geq 2$ .

## 2. Main Results

Recall that Gunawan's definition of 2-norm on  $\ell^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) is given by

$$\|x, y\|_p = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_k \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} x_j & x_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{vmatrix}^p \right]^{1/p}$$

if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and

$$\|x, y\|_\infty = \sup_j \sup_k \left\{ \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} x_j & x_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{vmatrix} \right\}.$$

Meanwhile, Gähler's definition is given by

$$\|x, y\|_p^* = \sup_{z, w \in \ell^{p'}, \|z\|_{p'} = \|w\|_{p'} \leq 1} \left| \frac{\sum x_j z_j}{\sum y_j z_j} - \frac{\sum x_j w_j}{\sum y_j w_j} \right|.$$

By the same trick as in [6], one may obtain

$$\|x, y\|_p^* = \sup_{z, w \in \ell^{p'}, \|z\|_{p'} = \|w\|_{p'} \leq 1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_k \left| \begin{vmatrix} x_j & x_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_k \\ w_j & w_k \end{vmatrix} \right|.$$

From the last expression, we have the following fact.

**Fact 2.1.** The inequality  $\|x, y\|_p^* \leq 2^{1/p} \|x, y\|_p$  holds for every  $x, y \in \ell^p$ .

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality for  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_k \left| \begin{vmatrix} x_j & x_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_k \\ w_j & w_k \end{vmatrix} \right| &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_k \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} x_j & x_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{vmatrix}^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_k \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_k \\ w_j & w_k \end{vmatrix}^{p'} \right]^{1/p'} \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_j \sum_k \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_k \\ w_j & w_k \end{vmatrix}^{p'} \right]^{1/p'} &\leq \left[ \sum_j \sum_k [|z_j w_k| + |z_k w_j|]^{p'} \right]^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \left[ \sum_j \sum_k |z_j w_k|^{p'} \right]^{1/p'} + \left[ \sum_j \sum_k |z_k w_j|^{p'} \right]^{1/p'} \\ &= 2 \|z\|_{p'} \|w\|_{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

But for  $\|z\|_{p'}, \|w\|_{p'} \leq 1$  we have

$$\left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_k \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_k \\ w_j & w_k \end{vmatrix}^{p'} \right]^{1/p'} \leq 2^{1-(1/p')} = 2^{1/p}.$$

This proves the inequality.

Note that for  $p = 1$ , Hölder's inequality gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_k \left| \begin{vmatrix} x_j & x_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_k \\ w_j & w_k \end{vmatrix} \right| \leq \|x, y\|_1 \cdot \|z, w\|_\infty.$$

But  $\|z, w\|_\infty \leq 2 \|z\|_\infty \|w\|_\infty$  (see [6]), and so taking the supremum over  $\|z\|_\infty$  and  $\|w\|_\infty \leq 1$ , we get  $\|x, y\|_1^* \leq 2 \|x, y\|_1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** *If  $(x(m))$  converges in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p$ , then it also converges (to the same limit) in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p^*$ .*

We shall show next that the convergence in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p^*$  also implies the convergence in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p$ . We do so by showing that: (1) the convergence in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p^*$  implies that in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p$ , and (2) the convergence in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p$  implies that in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p^*$ .

The second implication is already proved in [6] (using the inequality  $\|x, y\|_p \leq 2^{1-(1/p)} \|x\|_p \|y\|_p$ ). Hence it remains only to show the first implication.

**Theorem 2.3** *If  $(x(m))$  converges in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p^*$ , then it also converges (to the same limit) in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x(m))$  be a sequence in  $\ell^p$  which converges to  $x \in \ell^p$  in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p^*$ . Then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $m \geq N$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_k \left| \begin{vmatrix} x_j(m) - x_j & x_k(m) - x_k \\ y_j & y_k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_k \\ w_j & w_k \end{vmatrix} \right| < \epsilon$$

for every  $y \in \ell^p$  and  $z, w \in \ell^{p'}$  with  $\|z\|_{p'}, \|w\|_{p'} \leq 1$ . [Notice here that, for each  $m$ , we have  $x(m) = (x_j(m)) \in \ell^p$ .] In particular, if we take  $y := (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $z = (z_j)$

with  $z_j := \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(x_j(m)-x_j)|x_j(m)-x_j|^{p-1}}{\|x(m)-x\|_p^{p-1}}$  and  $w := (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , then we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{|x_j(m) - x_j|^p}{\|x(m) - x\|_p^{p-1}} < \epsilon.$$

[Here we are handling only the case where  $\|x(m) - x\|_p \neq 0$ .] Next, if we take  $y := (0, 1, 0, \dots)$ ,  $z = (z_1, 0, 0, \dots)$  with  $z_1 := \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(x_1(m)-x_1)|x_1(m)-x_1|^{p-1}}{\|x(m)-x\|_p^{p-1}}$  and  $w := (0, 1, 0, \dots)$ , then we have

$$\frac{|x_1(m) - x_1|^p}{\|x(m) - x\|_p^{p-1}} < \epsilon.$$

Adding up, we get

$$\|x(m) - x\|_p = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_j(m) - x_j|^p}{\|x(m) - x\|_p^{p-1}} < 2\epsilon.$$

This shows that  $(x(m))$  converges to  $x$  in  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4** *A sequence is convergent in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p^*$  if and only if it is convergent (to the same limit) in  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p$ .*

All these results can be extended to  $n$ -normed spaces for any  $n \geq 2$ . As an extension of Fact 2.1, we have:

**Fact 2.5** The inequality  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_p^* \leq (n!)^{1/p} \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_p$  holds for every  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \ell^p$ .

**Corollary 2.6** *If  $(x(m))$  converges in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ , then it converges (to the same limit) in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p^*$ .*

Analogous to Theorem 2.3, we have:

**Theorem 2.7** *If  $(x(m))$  converges in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p^*$ , then it also converges (to the same limit) in  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1(m))$  be a sequence in  $\ell^p$  which converges to  $x_1 = (x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots) \in \ell^p$  in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p^*$ . Then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $m \geq N$  we have

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_n} \left| \begin{array}{ccc} x_{1j_1}(m) - x_{1j_1} & \cdots & x_{1j_n}(m) - x_{1j_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{nj_1} & \cdots & x_{nj_n} \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{ccc} z_{1j_1} & \cdots & z_{1j_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{nj_1} & \cdots & z_{nj_n} \end{array} \right| < \epsilon$$

for every  $x_2, \dots, x_n \in \ell^p$  and  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \ell^p$  with  $\|z_1\|, \dots, \|z_n\| \leq 1$ . Now, take  $x_k = z_k := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  for every  $k = 2, \dots, n$ , where 1 is  $(n+1-k)$ -th

term and  $z_1 = (z_{11}, z_{12}, \dots) \in \ell^{p'}$  with  $z_{1j} := \frac{\text{sgn}(x_{1j}(m) - x_{1j}) |x_{1j}(m) - x_{1j}|^{p-1}}{\|x_1(m) - x_1\|_p^{p-1}}$ , then we have

$$\sum_{j_1=n}^{\infty} \frac{|x_{1j_1}(m) - x_{1j_1}|^p}{\|x_1(m) - x_1\|_p^{p-1}} < \epsilon.$$

Next, if we take  $x_k = z_k := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  for every  $k = 2, \dots, n$ , where 1 is  $k$ -th term, and  $z_1 := (z_{11}, 0, 0, \dots)$  with  $z_{11} := \frac{\text{sgn}(x_{11}(m) - x_{11}) |x_{11}(m) - x_{11}|^{p-1}}{\|x_1(m) - x_1\|_p^{p-1}}$ , then we have

$$\frac{|x_{11}(m) - x_{11}|^p}{\|x_1(m) - x_1\|_p^{p-1}} < \epsilon.$$

Similarly, if we alter the position of the entry 1 in  $x_k$  and  $z_k$  for  $k = 2, \dots, n$ , and change the nonzero entry of  $z_1$  accordingly, then we can get

$$\frac{|x_{12}(m) - x_{12}|^p}{\|x_1(m) - x_1\|_p^{p-1}} < \epsilon$$

and so on until

$$\frac{|x_{1(n-1)}(m) - x_{1(n-1)}|^p}{\|x_1(m) - x_1\|_p^{p-1}} < \epsilon.$$

Adding up, we get

$$\|x_1(m) - x_1\|_p = \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_{1j_1}(m) - x_{1j_1}|^p}{\|x_1(m) - x_1\|_p^{p-1}} < n\epsilon.$$

This shows that  $(x(m))$  converges to  $x$  in  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8** *A sequence is convergent in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p^*$  if and only if it is convergent (to the same limit) in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ .*

Related to the above results, one may also prove that a sequence is Cauchy in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p^*$  if and only if it is Cauchy in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ . [A sequence  $(x(m))$  in an  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is Cauchy if given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|x(l) - x(m), x_2, \dots, x_n\| < \epsilon$  whenever  $l, m \geq N$ , for every  $x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ .] Since  $(\ell^p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p)$  is a Banach space [6], we conclude, by Theorem 2.7, that  $(\ell^p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p^*)$  also forms an  $n$ -Banach space.

### 3. Concluding Remarks

As we have mentioned earlier, the case where  $p = 2$  is of course special. Here, the two  $n$ -norms  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2^*$  are identical. Indeed, by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (see [9]), one may obtain

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_2^* = \sup_{\substack{z_i \in \ell^2, \|z_i\|_2 \leq 1 \\ i=1, \dots, n}} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, z_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, z_n \rangle \end{vmatrix} \leq \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_2.$$

By taking  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  to be the orthonormalized vectors obtained from  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  through Gram-Schmidt process, one can show that the above upper bound is actually attained. Hence we have

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_2^* = \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_2.$$

For  $p \neq 2$ , things are not so simple and we have difficulties in proving the strong equivalence between the two  $n$ -norms  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p^*$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$ . The research on this problem, however, is still ongoing.

**Acknowledgement.** The research was carried out while the first author did his master thesis at Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung.

### References

- [1] Diminnie, C.R., Gähler, S., and White, A., "2-inner product spaces", *Demonstratio Math.* **6** (1973), 525–536.
- [2] Gähler, S., "Lineare 2-normierte Räume", *Math. Nachr.* **28** (1964), 1–43.
- [3] Gähler, S., "Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte  $m$ -metrische Räume. I", *Math. Nachr.* **40** (1969), 165 - 189.
- [4] Gähler, S., "Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte  $m$ -metrische Räume. II", *Math. Nachr.* **40** (1969), 229–264.
- [5] Gähler, S., "Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte  $m$ -metrische Räume. III", *Math. Nachr.* **41** (1970), 23–26.
- [6] Gunawan, H., "The space of  $p$ -summable sequences and its natural  $n$ -norms", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **64** (2001), 137–147.
- [7] Gunawan, H., "On  $n$ -inner products,  $n$ -norms, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality", *Sci. Math. Jpn.* **55** (2002), 53–60.
- [8] Gunawan, H. and Mashadi, "On  $n$ -normed spaces", *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **27** (2001), 631–639.
- [9] Gunawan, H., Neswan, O., and Setya-Budhi, W., "A formula for angles between two subspaces of inner product spaces", *Beiträge Algebra Geom.* **46** (2005), 311–320.
- [10] Misiak, A., "n-inner product spaces", *Math. Nachr.* **140** (1989), 299–319.